

all the letters, the date
& address on the note paper
must be given.

Yours faithfully

Sturges

P.S. Kindly acknowledge
receipt of this packet

11 Enclosures

ARCHIBALD CONSTABLE & COMPANY, LTD.

2, WHITEHALL GARDENS, S.W.

TELEGRAMS—DHAGABA.

To
Miss Lane
Dunbar House

Dear Madam Sturges Letters

Herewith I send you

Eleven letters to Dr. Judd

Please type them as before

& keep distinct & return to

me in a separate parcel

with their copies, all at one time.

Please note that in

extremely inadequate; & that a
great part of the working clergy sim-
ply make a livelihood out of masses
for the dead which collapsed at
the beginning of Edward VI's reign. This
looks as if the majority of living may
have been held by pluralists or men
ordained at Oxonia & Cambridge &
consecrated in diocesan registers.

Ever yours

William Stubbs

KEYTEL HALL,
OXFORD

April 2. 1882.

Dear Dr. Jessop.

Thank you very much
for your notes about the
Norwich Suffragans. As to
the curious question you put
at the end of the letter - I
must think about it. It wd
be worth while to compare the
Ordination lists with those in
the Durham Register published
by Sir J. Hardy in vol 3 of

of the Registrum Palatinum.

There were a great number of private titles, chaplaincies & Chantry priests, and likewise of titles from the Mendicant orders as well as the Monasteries. But there are also many ordinations or livings or titles.

Is it possible that in the twelfth or thirteenth most of the livings were in episcopal patronage or patronage of monasteries

so that men would not be appointed until they were already in orders? Of course there were no curacies to be ordained on, but I should have thought that you would find many ordinations or Chaplaincies. However the question is curious; I may have something to do with the question of pluralities. It is certain that the moment the monasteries fell, the supply of clergy became

38 21 21

14

24 21 21

3

ADZEN COURT

S. PAUL

Sept. 1. 1873

My dear Dr. Jessopp,

Thank you very much for your letter. I should not have dreamed of asking you to make a search for Missing Registers. The Books which ought to contain all that I want to know are, no doubt, those at which you are at work on the Probutions.

They would be the Volumes of
the Registers of

Spencer 1370 or
onwards to Wyke - all
of which I have seen in the
Registry. Of course there
would be kept some other
records of Courtwork, which
probably have perished at
Norwich as elsewhere. But
the forthcoming books ought
to give all that is required

for our purpose. Perhaps the
Norfolk & Suffolk cases, record-
ed in Foss, would give a clue
to the portion of the Register
which should be searched.

I shall be very grateful for
any help in this.

Yours very faithfully
William Stubbs.

Of course if, in the search, you
come on anything more accorde,
it will be additionally precious.

Hester

4

1 ARDEN COURT,
3, PAUL'S.

Sept. 3. [1882]

My dear Dr. Jessopp,

The details I want for the return on fares of heresy are "the name of the accused, the dates of trial, the process by which it was initiated, the Tribunal before which it was tried, the form of sentence and any further particulars which illustrate the nature of jurisdiction in such cases."

I do not think I need trouble you with any inquiry previous to the year 1377; but from

Clester

that date onward to the year 1533
I want all the information I can
get.

I cannot now remember the resi-
dence on which I gave the date
of 1091 to the consecration of
Herbert. Probably however it
was the finding his subscription
to some document on the year assigned
to his predecessors death. It is
clear from his profession that
the ceremony was performed
by the BISHOP of York. I know

The Cruz about Herbert's journey
to Rome & rehabilitation, for I had
some correspondence with Freeman
about it a year ago. I have not
my reference here, but I remem-
ber that some various readings in
the English Hist. Society's edition
helped to clear up the point.

I have no doubt we shall have
worked out in his forthcoming
book on William Rufus.

Yours very faithfully
William Stubbs

1 ANEN COURT,

S. PAUL'S.

Jan. 18. 1884.

My dear Dr. Jessop,

Thank you very much for the two papers which have just reached me from Oxford. As to the first, I think it really interesting: of course it is not intended for popular reading. The note of Mr R. is very foolish but scarcely more so than Sir J. Stephen's remark. It is curious that this class of mind will ignore the direct evidence (from the visitors' own letters) of the way they drove the poor creatures to confess things true and false.

I would say

1840
2

As for the penitentials to the residence tells (so far as it goes) agains lay as well as clerk; but Stephen might whave known that one instance of a crime may be the occasion of legislation as well as a thousand, and that society ought not to be judged by the Police reports.

I like very much what you have said about Bradenham: as for the Cent page, well, I am one of the people who think parochial Histories most interesting.

About the Rolls - there would be no chance of the MR. taking the Norwich Calendar: but the Hist. MSS. Comm. very likely would: it being very much the same sort of thing that they have done for our

Pauline Charters to for the next Report. If you were to offer to do it for them, or, if not, could suggest that if they would send a sub-committee, he might use Mr. Symonds's materials - I think they would consider it. But it might be as well to get one of the former printers enlisted on your behalf.

The much more important point in your letter (3) I am more shy in advising about. The best I can think of is that you should offer to the Master of the Rolls a volume or two, on the model of Raine's Letters from Northern Registers -

and do this as soon as you
can - carefully avoiding any pro-
position that looks like printing
a farulary - for they are over-
cartularied already. If you could
mention any considerable local
potentate or mighty lawyer,
it might possibly help. In fact
there is not much money, &
there is much competition & you
might have to apply more than
once or twice. In default I
can think of nothing better than
the Archaeologia & to get Lord
Carnarvon enlisted. If I have
a chance of saying a word I
will say it, but I do not know
the present MR. Ever yours faithfully
William Stubbs.

Chester

April 17th 1885.

My dear Dr. Jessopp,

Your find among the Tanner MSS. must be very interesting, & some time later in the year, if nothing is done in the matter before, I should like to see your notes. But as to publication — I think it is so certain that the Oxford Press would not take them that I cannot recommend you to run the risk of a refusal; — unless the whole material is so small that it could be got into a fasciculus of anecdotes. of course I would say what I could in

favour of the proposal but there are
many reasons (some of them
good ones) against the Pref taking
it just now. I feel sure that
the best thing would be to fall back
on the Gamsden Society; it would be
a kindness to the Society to get out
something of real interest. I
suppose for tonight's opinion as to
the probability of getting the Vest-
ments into the Rolls Series is
quite conclusive. The Gamsden
would be much better than
the County Society.

Yours ever
W. G. S. G.

Dictionary of National Biography,

15, Waterloo Place, S.W.

5. 11. 86.

My dear Mr Jessop,

We shall be much obliged if you will
take the three volumes (two Johns
& In Daniel) and Bishop Edward
The other names have gone to (I hope)
qualified persons, from whom I
cannot now recall them.

What you say of the dictionary gives
me great pleasure. It is, as you
may guess, a severe piece of

125-
B15x 81

judging, through which I struggle
as well as I can, though I am
too backward of feelings due to
my limited knowledge of history.

Lee is a great help & young
men of his standing are my
best supporters for the regular
hundredman plotting. We have

been well enough noticed in
the papers - though less known
than I could wish. That I hope
will come by degrees. Meanwhile

I am often in want of encouragement & such friendly
words as yours do more than you might believe
to keep me up to the mark.

Yours very truly

Stephen

LESLIE STEPHEN

THE PALACE,
CUDDESDON,
OXFORD.

Oct. 3. 1895

Dear Dr. Jessopp,

Thank you very much for
your answer about Paschal;
if you have an opportunity of
looking at Bateman's Register,
I should be most grateful if
you can verify the name &
help me to identify the good
gentleman.

Do not commit yourself

THE PALACE,
CROFT ROAD,
OXFORD

about the other matter.

With kindest regards, I am

Yours faithfully

W. W. W.

Diocesan Registry,

Oxford.

Luddesdon

Oct. 18, 1895.

My dear Dr. Joseph,

A thousand thanks - the point
of course that I want is the identifica-
tion of John Pascale of Llandaff,
with — Pascale of Scutari.

It is a work of patience. I am
most grateful to you for your re-
ferences. The Athenaeum has
the folio Blomfield, with the In-
dex to the Octavo, doubtless more
and intelligently appreciated.

Ever yours

W. Oxon.

The Llandaff

THE PALACE,
 CUDDESDON,
 OXFORD.

Mar. 6, 1896

My dear Dr. Jessop,

Many thanks to you
 for the notes about Chetham.
 I have, with a good deal of
 trouble, extricated him from
 the confusion with his prede-
 cessor - if I ever live to get
 another edition out, I shall
 have a good deal more to say.

Ever yours

W. Oxon.