

(pencil scratching)

- President Obama, he made it clear he was closing. So he was not going to send anybody there. And he came up with a new process which is to really focus on prosecution to try and nab the culprit at wherever he is and then use that apprehension in a legal way and use clean teams and things like that. So they could do that. And that's now being tested in the courts. It'll be very interesting to see what happens. If the courts determine that we violated the due process when we violated the person that's criminal their rights in that regard then that whole process could get thrown out and then we'd be left with only other option is, and then we didn't get into this really cause I left it for others. But I think the one thing that people forget about on detention and capturing people is what's the alternative and the alternative is to kill them. Right? You have to give your forces the capability to capture people, because if you don't give them the capability to capture people you're going to result in many more killings happening on the battlefield. And so if you capture them you can't expect them to capture them and then they go through a legal process and leave, they're capturing them, and operating under the laws of war in a war time, kinetic activity. And it's going down from there. So people sometimes forget, it's easy to say we can just get rid of detention and we could just get rid of this. But there's consequences to that. Serious consequences to that. And elites and others can wax more eloquently than I on that. But it's a very serious concern that we've looked at and been very concerned about that. Look, we want to make sure that we never take away the detention aspect from the commander's ability to conduct his operation because otherwise we could put him and his units at risk of committing war crimes and other things.